# **Analysis of Algorithms**

# **Table of Contents**

- What analysis measures
- Running time
- Orders of Growth
- Efficiencies
- Asymptotic Notations
- Comparing Orders of Growth
  - L'Hopital's rule
  - Stirling's Formula
- Efficiency Classes
- Process: Analysing time efficiency of non-recursive algorithms
  - Basic rules
- Process: analysing time efficiency of recursive algorithms
  - Divide and Conquer

### What analysis measures

- time complexity/efficiency: how fast an algorithm runs
- **space complexity/efficiency**: amount of space needed to run an algorithm and space required for input/output
- most algorithms run longer on longer inputs, so consider efficiency as a function of input size n
- when input is a single number, and *n* is a magnitude (e.g. checking if *n* is prime), you measure size using *b*, the number of bits in *n*'s binary representation:

$$b = \lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor + 1$$

#### **Running time**

- counting all operations that run is usually difficult and unnecessary
- instead identify **basic operation** that has highest proportion of running time and count number of times this is executed
  - usually most time-consuming operation on innermost loop

- e.g. sorting: basic operation is key comparison
- arithmetic: (least time consuming) addition ~ subtraction < multiplication < division (most time consuming)</li>
- time complexity analysis: determine number of times basic operation is executed for input size  $\ensuremath{n}$

# **Orders of Growth**

- small n: differences between algorithms are in the noise
- large *n*: the order of growth of the time complexity dominates and differentiates between algorithms

Some functions

$$\log_2 n < n < n \log_2 n < n^2 < n^3 < 2^n < n!$$

- log grows so slowly you would expect an algorithm with basic-operation to run practically instantaneously on inputs of all realistic size
- change of base results in multiplicative constant, so you can simply write  $\log n$  when you are only interested in order of growth

$$\log_a n = \log_a b \log_b n$$

• 2<sup>n</sup> and n! are both exponential-growth functions. Algorithms requiring an exponential number of operations are practical for solving only problems of very small size

# Efficiencies

Algorithm run-time can be dependent on particulars of input e.g. sequential search

Efficiency can be: - **worst-case**: algorithm runs longest among all possible inputs of size n - **best-case**: algorithm runs fastest among all possible inputs of size n - **average-case**: algorithm runs on typical/random input; typically more difficult to assess and requires assumptions about input - **amor-tized**: for cases where a single operation could be expensive, but remainder of operations occur much better than worst-case efficiency - amortize high cost over entire sequence

#### **Asymptotic Notations**

Notations for comparing orders of growth: - O: big-oh;  $\leq$  order of growth - O(g(n)): set of all functions with lower/same order of growth as g(n) as  $n \to \infty$  -  $\Omega$ : big-omega;  $\geq$  order of growth -  $\Theta$ : big-theta;

= order of growth

e.g.

$$n \in O(n^2)$$
 
$$\frac{n}{2}(n-1) \in O(n^2)$$

 $n^3 \notin O(n^2)$ 

**Definition:** A function  $t(n) \in O(g(n))$  if  $\exists c \in \mathbb{R}^+, n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  s.t.  $\forall n \ge n_0$ :

 $t(n) \leq cg(n)$ 





Big O

 $\textbf{Definition:} \ \textbf{A} \ \textbf{function} \ t(n) \in \Omega(g(n)) \ \textbf{if} \ \exists c \in \mathbb{R}^+, n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \ \textbf{s.t.} \ \forall n \geq n_0 \textbf{:}$ 

 $t(n) \ge cg(n)$ 

 $\textbf{Definition:} \ \textbf{A} \ \textbf{function} \ t(n) \in \Theta(g(n)) \ \textbf{if} \ \exists c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}^+, n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \ \textbf{s.t.} \ \forall n \geq n_0 \textbf{:}$ 

$$c_1 g(n) \le t(n) \le c_2 g(n)$$





 $\operatorname{Big} \Theta$ 

Theorem: If  $t_1(n) \in O(g_1(n))$  and  $t_2(n) \in O(g_2(n))$ :

$$t_1(n)+t_2(n)\in O(\max\{g_1(n),g_2(n)\})$$

Analogous assertions also hold for  $\Omega, \Theta$ 

- This implies that an algorithm comprised of two consecutively executed components has an overall efficiency determined by the part with a higher order of growth (the least efficient part)
- e.g.: check if an array has equal elements by first sorting, then checking consecutive items for equality
  - part 1 may take no more than  $\frac{n}{2}(n-1)$  comparisons, i.e.  $\in O(n^2)$
  - part 2 may take no more than n-1 comparisons, i.e.  $\in O(n)$
  - overall efficiency:  $O(n^2)$

# **Comparing Orders of Growth**

• to directly compare two functions, compute the limit of their ratio:

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{t(n)}{g(n)}$$

- This could be: (~: order of growth)

1. 
$$0 :\sim t(n) <\sim g(n)$$
  
2.  $c :\sim t(n) =\sim g(n)$   
3.  $\infty :\sim t(n) >\sim g(n)$ 

- Case a,  $b \Rightarrow t(n) \in O(g(n))$
- Case b,  $c \Rightarrow t(n) \in \Omega(g(n))$
- Case  $b \Rightarrow t(n) \in \Theta(g(n))$

### L'Hopital's rule

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{t(n)}{g(n)} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{t'(n)}{g'(n)}$$

## **Stirling's Formula**

For large n

$$n! \approx \sqrt{2\pi n} \frac{n}{e}^n$$

# **Efficiency Classes**

| Class     | Name         | Comments  |
|-----------|--------------|---|
| 1         | constant     | very few algorithms fall in this class                                |
| $\log n$  | logarithmic  | results from cutting problem's size by constant factor                |
| n         | linear       | scan a list of size $n$ e.g. sequential search                        |
| $n\log n$ | linearithmic | divide-and-conquer e.g. mergesort; quicksort                          |
| $n^2$     | quadratic    | two embedded loops e.g. basic sorting; $n \times n$ matrix operations |
| $n^3$     | cubic        | three embedded loops; e.g. often used in linear algebra               |
| $2^n$     | exponential  | generate all subsets of <i>n</i> -element set                         |
| n!        | factorial    | generate all permutations of <i>n</i> -element set                    |

### Process: Analysing time efficiency of non-recursive algorithms

- 1. define parameter indicating input's size
- 2. identify algorithm's basic operation (typically on innermost loop)
- 3. check if number of times basic operation is executed is only a function of input size
  - if not: worst case, average case to be considered separately
- 4. set up sum expressing number of times the basic operation is executed
- 5. use formulas/sum manipulation to find a closed form solution for the count or determine order of growth

#### **Basic rules**

**Scalar multiplication** 

$$\sum_{i=l}^{u} ca_i = c \sum_{i=l}^{u} a_i$$

Addition

$$\sum_{i=l}^{u}a_i + b_i = \sum_{i=l}^{u}a_i + \sum_{i=l}^{u}b_i$$
$$\sum_{i=l}^{u}1 = u - l + 1$$

In particular

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} 1 = n$$

### **Triangle numbers**

$$\sum_{i=l}^{n} i = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$$

### **Geometric series**

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} x^k = \frac{1 - x^{k+1}}{1 - x}$$

# Process: analysing time efficiency of recursive algorithms

- 1. define parameter indicating input size
- 2. identify basic operation
- 3. check if number of times basic operation is executed is only a function of input size
  - if not: worst case, average case to be considered separately
- 4. set up *recurrence relation* and *initial condition* corresponding to number of times basic operation is executed
- 5. solve recurrence or ascertain order of growth of its solution
- solution of recurrence relation can be by:
  - backwards substitution/telescoping method: substitution of M(n-1), M(n-2), ..., and identifying the pattern
- can be helpful to build a tree of recursive calls, and count the number of nodes to get the total number of calls

#### **Divide and Conquer**

- binary/n-ary recursion is encountered when input is split into parts, e.g. binary search
- you see the term n/k in the recurrence relation
- backwards substitution stumbles on values of n that are not powers of k
- to solve these, you assume  $n = k^i$  and then use the smoothness rule, which implies that order of growth for  $n = k^i$  gives a correct answer about order of growth  $\forall n$  For the following definitions, f(n) is a non-negative function defined for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

#### **DEFINITION: eventually non-decreasing**

• eventually nondecreasing: if  $\exists n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  s.t. f(n) is non-decreasing on  $[n_0, \infty]$ , i.e.

$$f(n_1) \leq f(n_2) \ \forall \ n_2 > n_1 \geq n_0$$

- e.g.  $f(n) = (n 100)^2$ : eventually non-decreasing
  - \* decreasing on interval [0, 100]
  - \* most functions encountered in algorithms are eventually non-decreasing

**DEFINITION: smooth** f(n) is smooth if:

- eventually non-decreasing, AND
- $f(2n) \in \Theta(f(n))$
- e.g.  $f(n) = n \log n$  is smooth because

$$f(2n) = 2n\log 2n = 2n(\log 2 + \log n) = 2\log 2n + 2n\log n \in \Theta(n\log n)$$

- fast growing functions e.g.  $a^n$  where a > 1, n! are not smooth
- e.g.  $f(n) = 2^n$

$$f(2n)=2^{2n}=4^n\notin \Theta(2^n)$$

**THEOREM:** Let f(n) be smooth. For any fixed integer  $b \ge 2$ :

$$f(bn) \in \Theta(f(n))$$

i.e.  $\exists c_b, d_b \in \mathbb{R}^+, n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  s.t.

$$d_b f(n) \leq f(bn) \leq c_b f(n)$$
 for  $n \geq n_0$ 

- corresponding assertion also holds for O and  $\Omega$ 

**THEOREM: Smoothness rule** Let T(n) be an eventually non-decreasing function. Let f(n) be a smooth function. If  $T(n) \boxtimes (f(n))$  for values of n that are powers of b where  $b \ge 2$ , then:

$$T(n)\in \Theta(f(n))$$

- analogous results also holds for O and  $\Omega$ 

- allows us to expand information about order of growth established for T(n), based on convenient subset of values (powers of b) to entire domain

**THEOREM: Master Theorem** Let T(n) be an eventually non-decreasing function that satisfies the recurrence

$$T(n)=aT(n/b)+f(n) \text{ for } n=b^k, k=1,2,\ldots$$

T(1) = c

where  $a \geq 1, b \geq 2, c > 0$ . If  $f(n) \in \Theta(n^d)$  where  $d \geq 0$ , then

$$T(n) \in \begin{cases} \Theta(n^d) \text{ if } a < b^d \\\\ \Theta(n^d \log n) \text{ if } a = b^d \\\\ \Theta(n^{\log a_b)} \text{ if } a > b^d \end{cases}$$

- analogous results also holds for O and  $\Omega$
- helps with quick efficiency analysis of divide-and-conquer and decrease-by-constant-facotr algorithms